

0000 0000 0237

SECRET

X

Chief, Munich Operations Group COS, Germany

Chief, ER via Chief, VE

PHINCOB/LICIDROTE/LICIDROB/2

Action Requested: See below

Reference: EGM-10667 (not sent Paris)

1. The PCA on Subject expires on 1 September 1961. In rechecking Headquarters files on this case coincidentally with the transfer of Headquarters case officers, it was learned that a KUEDEK/Ops study of the case, dated 30 September 1960, had not been forwarded to the field, as requested by KUEDEK. It is attached hereto for [ ] and Munich.

2. The PCA was extended from February 1961 to September 1961 with the following recommendations from KUEDEK:

(a) Subject's finances be checked into, in view of his ability to maintain the Munich apartment, etc.;

(b) A stake-out be placed on his apartment and the apartment be given a "sweep" in his absence; a stake-out and audio-surveillance be performed during his presence;

(c) The KUEDEK study be forwarded to the Field for action in accordance with the points raised in the study.

CONTINUED

Attachment: b/v  
As stated above

Distribution:  
3 - [ ] w/att.  
2 - MDO, w/att.  
2 - COS, Germany, w/o att.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

16 Aug 1961

1 Sept 1961

OFFU-31409

SECRET

1 - KE/G  
2 - WE/3  
2 - SR/2/CE  
1 - RI

SR/2/CE

bbb

8251

CSB/2

SR/3

KE/G

WE/3

C/WE/3

0000 0000 0238

SECRET

OWR-ji/mg

3. [ The reference dispatch advised Huxley to discuss AEDONA's dual role for KIMARK and WITILL with WITILL. WITILL will be asked for an evaluation of AEDONA/1 and the type and extent of their use of him. Some of the other points in the study will obviously have to be handled by Huxley. These requests went to ODS, Germany, CDS, Bonn, Berlin, Frankfurt, Hamburg, NIG produced no new information on Subject, his ex-wife and his ex-daughters.

4. To Huxley: In the view of the current case officer, KIMARK is paying AEDONA/1 enough salary and expenses for him to be able to afford the second apartment, so we do not believe a check of his finances would be too probative--unless, of course, we are able to learn the amount of his income, if any, from WITILL and, by deduction, determine if he has other sources of income not previously known to us. We do not know what facilities the station has for the stake-out and audio-surveillance requested and would appreciate your comments on these points, as well as some of the others raised in the study, the answer to which must come from your Station.

5. Please note that the Backgrounds file number for this operation has been changed from the 29-6-106 category to 74-6-63 (the old AEDONA number) in order to more closely reflect the area of operational interest.

6. We regret that the study was not forwarded sooner, but in order to secure removal of the PUA, or an Oa, the points raised by KIMARK will have to be answered if at all possible. We would appreciate your early reply, as well as the operational review of the case provided [ in OWR-59920, of 18 July 1961.

[ [ ] ]